

Critical Incident Policy					
Program/Dept:	Quality & Patient Relations	Document Category:	Quality and Patient Relations		
Developed by:	Director for Quality & Patient Relations, Quality Council, MAC	Original Approval Date:	December 2009		
Approved by:	Quality Council	Reviewed Date:	February 2015		
Review Frequency:	3 years	Revised Date:	January 2018		

Purpose

Halton Healthcare is committed to patient safety and continuous quality improvement. The effective management of safety incidents is an important part of this corporate commitment. The purpose of this policy is to provide specific direction to those hospital personnel responsible for and/or involved in the determination, reporting and tracking of serious safety incidents which have been determined to have met the definition of Critical Incident (CI) per Regulation 965, Public Hospitals Act.

Requirement under the PHA -

- Hospital Board must ensure that administrator provides aggregated CI data related to critical incidents occurring at the hospital to the hospital's Quality Committee at least twice per year
- Aggregated data shall include data about all critical incidents since the previous report of aggregated critical incidents to the Quality Committee

Scope

This policy applies to all physicians, and staff of Halton Healthcare who have responsibility for oversight of critical incident management.

Definitions

Safety Incident: An unexpected event or circumstance that could have resulted, or did result in unnecessary harm to a patient/person, loss or damage.

Critical Incident: A critical incident is an unintended event that occurs when a patient receives treatment in the hospital that results in death, or serious disability, injury or harm to the patient, and does not result primarily from the patient's underlying medical condition or from a known risk inherent in providing treatment. Reference: Regulation 965, Public Hospitals Act.

Policy

- 1. For all Actual or Potential Patient Safety Incidents please refer to the Incident Management Policy and Procedure.
- 2. For <u>serious</u> incidents (harm levels 4-5), staff must immediately notify by phone or pager the MRP, direct supervisor and Manager/Manager-on-call or delegate who then notifies the Director. The Program/Department Director then notifies the following individuals:
 - Appropriate Chief Operating Office / Vice President
 - Chief, Co-Chief, Associate Chief of Staff / Department Chief
 - Most Responsible physician (MRP)
 - VP Clinical Programs and CNO
 - Director Quality and Patient Relations
 - Others as required e.g. Professional Practice Leaders, Security Services, Public Relations

(Note that once a serious incident is submitted in the IRS, the system triggers automatic email notification to the senior leadership team)

- 3. Disclosure to the patient and Substitute Decision Maker (SDM) occurs in accordance with the Disclosure Policy.
- 4. The Program Director and Director of Quality and Patient Relations will lead a Critical Incident Triage Meeting to determine the status of the incident. (See Appendix A Critical Incident Determination tool).
- 5. All incidents, regardless of the "Critical" determination will continue through the incident management process described in the Incident Management Policy & Procedure. This includes initiation of an immediate follow-up investigation and fact finding, including an offer to interview the patient or their authorized representative ¹. A Quality of Care review is conducted with participation of the Patient Relations Advisor or designate who can provide the patient perspective during the review.
- The Quality of Care Committee will coordinate disclosure to the Medical Advisory Committee (MAC) utilizing the Regulatory Notification Template of Potential Critical Incidents (refer to Appendix B)
- 7. The Quality of Care Committee will prepare a summary of aggregate critical Incident data to the Quality and Risk Management Committee of the board at least twice a year.
- 8. All critical incidents related to **medication / IV fluids** shall be reported through the National System of Incident Reporting (NSIR) within 30 days following the disclosure of the critical incident to the Medical Advisory Committee (MAC), administrator and/or patient.
- 9. The board is required to ensure the hospital has a process in place analyze the incident and develop a plan with the systemic steps to avoid or reduce the risk of further similar critical incidents.
- 10. A Status Report on recommendations will be reviewed at Quality Council within three months, as submitted through the Director, Quality and Patient Relations.

Roles/Responsibilities

Defined within the policy

Related Documents

Disclosure of Safety Incidents
Incident Management Policy & Procedure

Key Words

Safety Incident, Incident Management, Critical Incident, Critical, Harm, Disclosure, Serious incident, Serious, Adverse event

Reviewed by/Consultation

Quality Council, MAC

Signed by			
Title			

References

http://blg.com/en/News-And-Publications/Publication_4820

Accreditation Canada. (2017). Required organizational practices: Handbook 2017. Retrieved from http://www.accreditation.ca/sites/default/files/rop-handbook-2016-en.pdf

Canadian Patient Safety Institute. (2012). Canadian incident analysis framework. Retrieved from http://www.patientsafetyinstitute.ca/English/toolsResources/IncidentAnalysis/Documents/Canadian%20Incident%20Analysis%20Framework.PDF

World Health Organization. (2015). A taxonomy for patient safety. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/patientsafety/implementation/taxonomy/en/

--. (2005). WHO draft guidelines for adverse event reporting and learning systems: From information to action. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/patientsafety/events/05/Reporting_Guidelines.pdf?ua=1

Institute for Safe Medication Practices Canada. (2015). Ontario critical incident learning. Retrieved from http://www.ismp-canada.org/ocil/

Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. (2017). Excellent care for all act updates: Critical incident reporting: Update as of 2016. Retrieved from

www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ecfa/legislation/act_regs.aspx;

http://health.gov.on.ca/en/common/legislation/qcipa/

Ontario Hospital Association. (2004). Quality of care: Information protection act. Retrieved from http://www.oha.com/KnowledgeCentre/Library/Toolkits/Documents/QCIPAToolkit.pdf

Service Ontario e-Laws. (2014). Public hospitals act. Retrieved from http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws_statutes_90p40_e.htm

Appendices

Appendix A - Critical Incident Determination tool

Appendix B - Regulatory Notification Template of Potential Critical Incidents



Appendix A

Critical Incident Determination Tool

Privileged and Confidential: Prepared for Quality of Care Purposes

The Emergency Teleconference/Meeting should be held as soon as possible (within one business day) following the potential critical incident. The COO of the applicable program is required to coordinate the teleconference.

DEFINITION: As defined under the Public Hospitals Act, a Critical Incident (CI) is "an unintended event that occurs when a patient receives treatment in the hospital; that results in death, or serious disability, injury or harm to the patient, and does not result primarily from the patient 's underlying medical condition or from a known risk inherent in providing treatment ".

Date of Potential Critical Incident: Teleconference/Meeting:	Date of
IRS#:	
Participants to Include:	
COO(s) of involved area(s)	Dir Quality & PR
☐ Manager/Supervisor of Areas:	
Program Director(s) of involved area	(s)
☐ Medical Director/Chief/Designate	
Chief of Staff	
Senior VP Clinical Programs	
Others as required	
Agenda of Teleconference/Meeting: (Review of the details of the incident	check as completed)
	nt " as defined above, the following questions must be
answered:	3 4
1) Did something unintended happen to	the patient? Yes No
2) Was the patient under the care of the	e facility at the time? Yes No
3) Was there disability, injury or harm?	If so what harm level? Yes No
4) Are there consequences to the patient	nt now or in the future? Yes No
	the patient's underlying medical condition?
•	risk inherent in providing the treatment? Yes No
7) Were all reasonably available prever were they adhered to? Yes N	ntable measures in place at the time of the incident? If yes, lo
If the answer to # 5, 6, 7 'YES', this incident	dent would NOT be a "Critical Incident".
Determination of Critical Incident Y	es No

Critical Incident Policy				
☐ Discuss immediate containment options if appropriate.				
Confirm COO lead for Critical Incident				
☐ Confirm initial disclosure has occurred ☐ Yes ☐ No (see Disclosure Policy for entire Disclosure process)				
Consider timing of disclosure, status of patient, presence of patient supports, most appropriate person to disclose.				
Assign lead for communication with patient/POA/Legal SDM Communication Lead:				
Are there concerns related to individual performance or a history of performance concerns? If yes, performance concerns need to be reviewed separately.				
 ☐ Establish review method: ☐ Program Quality of Care Review (not QCIPA) ☐ Program Quality of Care Review (QCIPA) ☐ Performance Review (to be conducted by manager or appropriate chief) 				
☐ Is it recommended this review be conducted under QCIPA? - If Yes, Quality & Patient Relations will forward request to Chair of designated Quality of Care Committee or delegate				
Determine participants for review meeting (discuss who needs to be involved, maximum 10-12 participants)-				
Determine lead responsible for: Documentation of sequence of events (chronology (name)				
☐ If medication/IV fluid administration incident, then discuss reporting to the National System of Incident Reporting (NSIR) (required to be done within 30 days following disclosure of the CI to MAC CEO and/or patient).				
☐ Yes ☐ No				
Quality & Patient Relations with approval of COO lead to complete template for Mandatory CI Notification to CEO/Chair of MAC.				

Levels of Harm	Examples		
Level 0 - Near Miss An incident which did not reach the patient. If the near miss had the potential for severe outcome, contact Risk Management to discuss.	 Incorrect drug or correct drug at incorrect rate or volume discovered before administration to the patient Defibrillator found to be uncharged or nonfunctioning during a routine or random check Lab bag labeled incorrectly but discovered before item erroneously sent out. 		
Level 1 - No Harm Incident An incident in which an event reached a patient but no discernible harm resulted.	Medication error not resulting in injury or harm Slip/falls that resulted in no injury		
Level 2 - Harmful Incident - Mild Harm Patient outcome is symptomatic, symptoms are mild, loss of function or harm is minimal or intermediate but short term, and no/minimum intervention is required.	 Diabetic patient given wrong diet, increased blood sugar which required monitoring Defibrillator pads improperly applied, causes minor skin irritation Fall, minor laceration 		
Level 3 - Harmful Incident - Moderate Harm Patient outcome is symptomatic, requiring intervention an increased length of stay, or causing permanent or long term harm or loss of function.	Incorrect medication given requiring cardiac monitoring Fall, fractured arm requiring casting, no surgery		
Level 4 - Harmful Incident - Severe Harm Patient outcome is symptomatic, requiring life- saving intervention or major surgical/medical intervention, shortening life expectancy or causing major permanent or long-term harm or loss of function.	 Correct medication at incorrect rate or volume resulting in resuscitation or other life-saving measure Major fractures (hip, skull) requiring surgery Surgery/Procedure error i.e. wrong site, wrong patient or wrong procedure Unsuccessful Code Blue 		
Level 5 - Harmful Incident - Death On balance of probabilities; Death was caused or brought forward in the short term by the incident.	 Successful on-site suicide Death as a result of a fall Maternal/infant death associated with labour or delivery Ventilator loses power resulting in death Unsuccessful Code Blue 		



Appendix B

Regulatory Notification Template of Potential Critical Incidents

Privileged and Confidential: Prepared for Quality of Care Purposes

Disclosure of Critical Incident Disclosure to MAC (Per Public Hospitals Act, Reg 965)

Critical Incident Definition

A "critical incident" is defined as any unintended event that occurs when a patient receives treatment in the hospital that::

- a) Results in death, or serious disability, injury or harm to the patient; and
- b) Does not result primarily from the patient's underlying medical condition or from a known risk inherent in providing treatment.

Reference: An Ontario Guide to Disclosure: Implementing the Amendments to Regulation 965 under the Public Hospitals Act

Date of Report to MAC :	
Date of Report to MAC	

Incident	Incident	Program	Incident	Consequences	Actions taken &	QCIPA
#	Date	Service	Summary (Material Facts Only)	for patient	Recommendations	Review (Y/N)